arXiv:1304.5711v1 [math.QA] 21 Apr 2013

ADE SUBALGEBRAS OF THE TRIPLET VERTEX ALGEBRA $\mathcal{W}(p)$: D_m-SERIES

DRAŽEN ADAMOVIĆ, XIANZU LIN, AND ANTUN MILAS

Abstract. We are continuing our study of ADE-orbifold subalgebras of the triplet vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(p)$. This part deals with the dihedral series. First, subject to a certain constant term identity, we classify all irreducible modules for the vertex algebra $\overline{M(1)}^+$, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$–orbifold of the singlet vertex algebra $\overline{M(1)}$. Then we classify irreducible modules and determine Zhu's and C_2–algebra for the vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$. A general method for construction of twisted $\mathcal{W}(p)$–modules is also introduced. We also discuss classification of twisted $\overline{M(1)}$–modules including the twisted Zhu's algebra $A_\Psi(\overline{M(1)})$, which is of independent interest. The category of admissible Ψ-twisted $\overline{M(1)}$-modules is expected to be semisimple. We also prove C_2-cofiniteness of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$ for all m, and give a conjectural list of irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$-modules. Finally, we compute characters of the relevant irreducible modules and describe their modular closure.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. The vertex algebras $\overline{M(1)}^+$ and $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$ 3
3. Irreducible $\overline{M(1)}^+$–modules from untwisted $\overline{M(1)}$–modules 5
4. Twisted $\overline{M(1)}$ and $\mathcal{W}(p)$–modules 7
5. The classification of twisted $\overline{M(1)}$–modules 8
6. $\overline{M(1)}^+$ and $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$–modules from twisted modules 10
7. Classification of irreducible $\overline{M(1)}^+$–modules 12
8. The vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$ 14
9. C_2–cofiniteness of the vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$ 19
10. Towards irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$-modules 21
10.1. Irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$-modules: lowest weights 23
11. Irreducible characters and modular closure 24
References 26

1. Introduction

The family of triplet vertex algebras $\mathcal{W}(p)$, $p \geq 2$, has recently attracted a lot of interest in connection to logarithmic conformal field theory, Hopf algebras and tensor categories (see [AM2], [AM3], [CR], [FGST1], [FGST2], [GK1], [GK2], [FSS], [Fu], [GKM], [NT]).

In [ALM1], we started to investigate subalgebras of the triplet vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{W}(p)$ obtained as the fixed point subalgebra $\mathcal{W}(p)^\Gamma$, where $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W}(p)) \cong PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$ is finite (so it is of ADE type). In the same paper, we proved the C_2-cofiniteness of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_m}$ (cyclic case) and presented several results and conjectures about its representation theory. In this installation we prove analogous results for the subalgebras $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$, where D_m is a dihedral group of order $2m$.

Date:

Although $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$ might appear to be more complicated compared to A-series, it is important to point out that $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$ is in fact a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-orbifold of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_m}$ for the automorphism Ψ of order two constructed in [ALM1]. If we adopthis point of view, investigation of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$ has many similarities with the vertex algebra V_L^+ obtained as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-orbifold of the rank one lattice vertex algebra V_L (cf. [DN2], [DGR]). The vertex algebra V_L^+ is known to be rational and C_2–cofinite (loc.cit). Thus,

$\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$ can be viewed as an irrational (or logarithmic) generalization of V_L^+.

In order to analyze the orbifold V_L^+, C. Dong and K. Nagatomo in [DN1], [DN2] made a heavy use of representation theory of the vertex algebra $M(1)^+$, a $\mathbb{Z}_2$–orbifold of the $c=1$ Heisenberg (bosonic) vertex algebra $M(1)$. So, in parallel with their approach, we first study the vertex operator algebra $\overline{M(1)}^+$ (of central charge $c_{p,1}$!), a $\mathbb{Z}_2$–orbifold of the singlet vertex operator algebra $\overline{M(1)}$ studied extensively in [A] (see also [AM1]). Recall that irreducible $\overline{M(1)}$–modules are of lowest weight type with respect to $(L(0),H(0))$

$$L_{\overline{M(1)}}(x,y), \quad y^2 = C_p P(x),$$

where C_p is a non-zero constant and $P(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $2p-1$ (loc.cit.). In Section 2, among other things, we proved that $\overline{M(1)}^+$ is the only proper vertex subalgebra of $\overline{M(1)}$ which contains Virasoro vertex operator algebra $L(c_{p,1},0)$. The Zhu algebra $A(\overline{M(1)}^+)$ is also commutative and generated by two vectors $[\omega]$, $[H^{(2)}]$. But the explicit determination of relations among them seems to be a difficult problem. To tame this, we use approach similar to that developed in [A], [AM2], [AM3] and in other papers. Then up to a certain constant term identity (Conjecture 7.6), we present a classification result for irreducible $\overline{M(1)}^+$–modules. Since Conjecture 7.6 can be easily checked on computer for small values of p, we get a large class of vertex algebras having similar representation theory as $M(1)^+$ for $c=1$.

In this approach, we also need twisted $\overline{M(1)}$–modules. For every $1 \le j \le p$ we construct unique Ψ–twisted $\overline{M(1)}$–module $L^{tw}_{\overline{M(1)}}(h_{p+1/2-j,1})$ of lowest weight $h_{p+1/2-j,1}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that p is small (i.e., $p \le 20$). Then*

(1) *Twisted Zhu's algebra $A_\Psi(\overline{M(1)})$ is semi-simple and isomorphic to*

$$\mathbb{C}[x]/\langle h_p(x)\rangle$$

where $h_p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{p}(x - h_{p+1/2-i,1})$.

(2) *The set $\{L^{tw}_{\overline{M(1)}}(h_{p+1/2-j,1}) \mid j=1,\dots,p\}$ provides all $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$–graded irreducible Ψ–twisted $\overline{M(1)}$–modules.*

We shall prove this theorem for p small, because then we can verify some technical conditions on computer. Of course, we conjecture that this theorem holds for every p.

The above twisted $\overline{M(1)}$–modules, as an $\overline{M(1)}^+$–module, decompose into a direct sum of two non-isomorphic irreducible modules

$$L^{tw}_{\overline{M(1)}}(h_{p+1/2-j,1}) = R(j)^\sigma \oplus R(2p+1-j)^\sigma.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that Conjecture 7.6 holds (verified for p small).*

(1) *The set*

$$\{L_{\overline{M(1)}}(h_{i,1},0)^\pm,\ L_{\overline{M(1)}}(x,y),\ R(j)^\sigma, y \neq 0, i=1,\dots,p, j=1,\dots,2p\}$$

gives a complete list of non-isomorphic irreducible $\mathbb{N}$–graded $\overline{M(1)}^+$–modules.

(2) *Every irreducible $\overline{M(1)}^+$–module is realized as a submodule of either irreducible $\overline{M(1)}$–module or irreducible Ψ–twisted $\overline{M(1)}$–module.*

Next we study the representation theory of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$. The main results in this directions are proven in Sections 8, 9 and 10. We prove C_2–cofiniteness for all m and construct a family of irreducible modules. In the case $m = 2$, we present results on classification of all irreducible modules.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that Conjecture 7.6 holds (verified for p small).*

(1) *The vertex operator algebra* $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$ *has* $11p$ *irreducible representations.*

(2) *Zhu's algebra* $A(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2})$ *is commutative and*

$$\dim A(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}) = \dim \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}) = 12p - 1.$$

(3) *The modular closure of irreducible characters of* $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$*-modules is* $6p - 1$*–dimensional.*

So up to a combinatorial identity, $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, gives a new series of non-rational C_2-vertex operator algebras, for which we have complete classification of irreducible representations and description of Zhu's and the C_2-algebra. We plan to extend this result for general m in our forthcoming papers.

2. THE VERTEX ALGEBRAS $\overline{M(1)}^{+}$ AND $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$

In this part we mostly follow [AM2], [AM3]. We fix the rank one even integral lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ such that $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2p$. Extension of scalars on L leads to the abelian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ and its affinization $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$. Denote by $M(1) = S(\mathfrak{h}_{<0}) \cong \mathbb{C}[\alpha(-1), \alpha(-2), \cdots]$ the Fock space, with the usual vertex algebra structure. The conformal structure is determined by

$$\omega = \frac{1}{4p}\alpha(-1)^2\mathbf{1} + \frac{p-1}{2p}\alpha(-2)\mathbf{1}$$

Conformal vertex algebra $M(1)$ embeds into the lattice vertex algebra $V_L = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[L]$, where L denotes the group algebra generated by e^{α}, $\alpha \in L$. We use standard notation $Y(e^{\alpha}, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\alpha}_n x^{-n-1}$. We have two (degree one) screenings: $Q = e^{\alpha}_0$ and $\tilde{Q} = e_0^{-\alpha/p}$ (strictly speaking $e_0^{-\alpha/p}$ operates on the generalized vertex algebra associated to the dual lattice of L). The kernel of $\tilde{Q}$ on V_L is what we call the triplet vertex algebra and is denoted by $\mathcal{W}(p)$ [FGST1], [FGST2]. For more about the structure of $\mathcal{W}(p)$ see [AM2] [FGST1], [FGST2] for example. Recall that the triplet vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(p)$ has $2p$ irreducible modules:

$$\Lambda(i), \Pi(i), \quad i = 1, \dots, p.$$

In [ALM1], we have constructed an automorphism Ψ of $\mathcal{W}(p)$ such that

$$\Psi(Q^i e^{-n\alpha}) = \frac{(-1)^i i!}{(2n-i)!} Q^{2n-i} e^{-n\alpha},$$

and proved that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W}(p)) = PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

In what follows for an untwisted V-module M and $\sigma \in Aut(V)$, we denote by M^{σ} the V-module where the actions is twisted by σ. One can easily show that

$$\Lambda(i)^{\Psi} \cong \Lambda(i), \;\; \Pi(i)^{\Psi} \cong \Pi(i).$$

This implies that Ψ induces $\mathcal{W}(p)$–isomorphisms of $\Psi_{\Lambda(i)}, \Psi_{\Pi(i)}$ of irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)$–modules. In particular, on $\Lambda(i)$ we have

$$\Psi_{\Lambda(i)}(Q^j e^{\frac{i-1}{2p}\alpha - n\alpha}) = \frac{(-1)^j j!}{(2n-j)!} Q^{2n-j} e^{\frac{i-1}{2p}\alpha - n\alpha}.$$

The operators Q and $f = -\Psi_{\Lambda(i)} \circ Q \circ \Psi_{\Lambda(i)}$ induce an $sl(2)$–action on $\Lambda(i)$. Similarly, we have an $sl(2)$–action on $\Pi(i)$.

Set

$$v_n = Q^{2n}e^{-2n\alpha},\ n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

and set

$$v_n^i = (2n-i)!Q^i e^{-n\alpha} + (-1)^i i! Q^{2n-i} e^{-n\alpha},\ i, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\ i < n,\ m|n-i.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}(Vir)v_n \oplus \bigoplus_{i,n} \mathcal{U}(Vir)v_n^i,$$

where the pair (i,n) satisfies the above condition. In $\mathcal{W}(p)$, we need the following vectors:

$$H^{(2)} = Q^2 e^{-2\alpha},$$

$$U^{(m)} = (2m)!e^{-m\alpha} + Q^{2m}e^{-m\alpha}.$$

Note that $\Psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\overline{M(1)}$. So we have an automorphism of order two of the singlet vertex operator algebra $\overline{M(1)}$ such that $\Psi(H) = -H$. Let

$$\overline{M(1)}^{\pm} = \{v \in \overline{M(1)} \mid \Psi(v) = \pm v\}.$$

Then $\overline{M(1)}^{+}$ is a simple vertex subalgebra and we clearly have

$$\overline{M(1)} = \overline{M(1)}^{+} \bigoplus \overline{M(1)}^{-}.$$

We have the following decompositions:

$$\overline{M(1)}^{+} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}(Vir)Q^{2n}e^{-2n\alpha} \cong \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} L(c_{p,1}, h_{1,4n+1}),$$

$$\overline{M(1)}^{-} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}(Vir)Q^{2n+1}e^{-(2n+1)\alpha} \cong \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} L(c_{p,1}, h_{1,4n+3}),$$

The proofs of Theorem 3.5 in [DN1] and Theorem 3.2 in [A] imply the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *$\overline{M(1)}^{+}$ is strongly generated by $H^{(2)}$ and ω, and the Zhu algebra $A(\overline{M(1)}^{+})$ is generated by $[H^{(2)}]$ and $[\omega]$. Moreover, we have*

$$[H^{(2)}]^2 = f([\omega])[H^{(2)}] + g([\omega])$$

for some $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, $deg(f) \leq 3p-1$, $deg(g) \leq 6p-2$.

We also have a uniqueness result for $\overline{M(1)}^{+}$.

Proposition 2.2. *$\overline{M(1)}^{+}$ is the only proper vertex subalgebra of $\overline{M(1)}$ which contains Virasoro vertex operator algebra $L(c_{p,1}, 0)$.*

Proof. Recall the $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$-action on $\mathcal{W}(p)$ from [ALM1]. Then Lemma 2.6 [DGR] applies verbatim for $c_{p,1}$ central charges. The rest is clear. ☐

Our next result concerns generators of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$.

Proposition 2.3. *The vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$ is strongly generated by*

$$\omega,\ H^{(2)},\ U^{(m)} = (2m)!F^{(m)} + E^{(m)},$$

so it is a $\mathcal{W}$-algebra of type $(2, 6p-2, m^2p + m(p-1))$

Proof. It is easy to prove that ω, $H^{(2)}$, $U^{(mn)}$, $n \geq 0$, generate all of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$. In fact,

$$\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{M(1)}^{+} \cdot U^{(mn)},$$

where $U^{(0)} = \mathbf{1}$. By using strong generation of $\overline{M(1)}^{+}$ via $H^{(2)}$ and ω, to finish the proof it is sufficient to show that $\overline{M(1)}^{+} \cdot U^{(mn)}$ is strongly generated by ω, $U^{(m)}$ and $H^{(2)}$. We use induction to prove this fact. Suppose that the result holds for $m \leq k$. Then, as in proof of Theorem 2.9 in [DGR], we choose n_0 such that $U^{(m)}_{-n_0} U^{(mk)} = \nu U^{(m+mk)} + v$ for some v for which the inductive hypothesis applies. □

3. IRREDUCIBLE $\overline{M(1)}^{+}$–MODULES FROM UNTWISTED $\overline{M(1)}$–MODULES

The classification of irreducible $\overline{M(1)}$–modules was done in [A]. The irreducible $\overline{M(1)}$–modules are parameterized by lowest weights with respect to $(L(0), H(0))$. So let $L_{\overline{M(1)}}(x, y)$ denote the irreducible $\overline{M(1)}$–module with lowest weight (x, y) such that

$$y^2 = C_p P(x), \quad C_p = \frac{(4p)^{2p-1}}{(2p-1)!^2}, \; P(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{2p-1} (x - h_{i,1}),$$

where

$$h_{i,j} = \frac{(jp - i)^2 - (p-1)^2}{4p}.$$

Then

$$L_{\overline{M(1)}}(x, y)^{\Psi} \cong L_{\overline{M(1)}}(x, -y).$$

If $y \neq 0$, then $L_{\overline{M(1)}}(x, y) \cong L_{\overline{M(1)}}(x, -y)$ are irreducible as $\overline{M(1)}^{+}$–modules.

If $y = 0$, then $L_{\overline{M(1)}}(x, 0)$ is Ψ-invariant, and therefore it splits into a direct sum of two non-isomorphic $\overline{M(1)}^{+}$–modules:

$$L_{\overline{M(1)}}(x, 0) \cong L_{\overline{M(1)}}(x, 0)^{+} \oplus L_{\overline{M(1)}}(x, 0)^{-}.$$

But in this case $x = h_{i,1}$ and $L_{\overline{M(1)}}(h_{i,1}, 0) \cong \overline{M(1)}.e^{\frac{i-1}{2p}\alpha}$. Therefore:

$$L_{\overline{M(1)}}(h_{i,1}, 0)^{+} \cong \overline{M(1)}^{+}.e^{\frac{i-1}{2p}\alpha}, \quad L_{\overline{M(1)}}(h_{i,1}, 0)^{-} \cong \overline{M(1)}^{+}.Qe^{\frac{i-1}{2p}\alpha - \alpha}.$$

For any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}$ let $M(1, \lambda)$ denote the irreducible $M(1)$-module defined in [LL, p.219]. Let $v_\lambda \neq 0$ be a lowest weight vector of $M(1, \lambda)$.

The following result follows from [AM2]:

Lemma 3.1. *Consider $M(1, \lambda)$ as a $\overline{M(1)}^{+}$-module, then*

$$[H^{(2)}](v_\lambda) = (-1)^p B_p \binom{t}{3p-1} \binom{t+p}{3p-1} v_\lambda,$$

$$[\omega](v_\lambda) = \frac{1}{4p} t(t - 2(p-1)) v_\lambda,$$

where $B_p = \frac{(3p-1)!(2p)!}{(4p-1)!(p)!}$ and $t = \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle$. Moreover,

$$[H^{(2)}] - f_p([\omega])$$

acts trivially on top components of $M(1,\lambda)$, *where*

$$f_p(x) = \widetilde{B_p} \prod_{i=1}^{3p-1} (x - h_{i,1}), \quad \widetilde{B_p} \neq 0.$$

Let us now identify lowest weights of constructed $\overline{M(1)}^+$–modules with respect to $(L(0), H^{(2)}(0))$.

Proposition 3.2. *We have:*

(1)

$$L_{\overline{M(1)}^+}(h_{i,1}, 0) = L_{\overline{M(1)}}(h_{i,1}, 0)^+ \quad \textit{for } i = 1, \dots, p,$$

(2)

$$L_{\overline{M(1)}^+}(x, f_p(x)) = L_{\overline{M(1)}}(x, \pm\sqrt{C_p P(x)}) \quad \textit{if } x \neq h_{i,1},\ i = 1, \dots, p.$$

Proof. Assertion (1) follows from the fact that $L_{\overline{M(1)}^+}(h_{i,1}, 0)$ is realized as a submodule of $\overline{M(1)}.e^{\frac{i-1}{2p}\alpha}$, and that

$$L(0)e^{\frac{i-1}{2p}\alpha} = h_{i,1}e^{\frac{i-1}{2p}\alpha}, \quad H^{(2)}(0)e^{\frac{i-1}{2p}\alpha} = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, p).$$

$$x = \tfrac{1}{4p}t(t - 2(p-1)),\ y = (-1)^p B_p \binom{t}{3p-1}\binom{t+p}{3p-1} = f_p(x).$$

For a proof of assertion (2) we realize the irreducible module $L_{\overline{M(1)}^+}(x,y)$ as a irreducible subquotient of the $\overline{M(1)}^+$–module $M(1,\lambda)$, with $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = t$. Uniqueness follows from the theory of Zhu's algebras. □

Proposition 3.3. *For every* $1 \leq i \leq p$, *there exists an irreducible* $\overline{M(1)}^+$*–module* $L_{\overline{M(1)}^+}(h_{4p-i,1}, -2f_p(h_{4p-i,1}))$ *with lowest weight*

$$(h_{4p-i,1}, -2f_p(h_{4p-i,1})).$$

This module is realized as

$$L_{\overline{M(1)}}(h_{i,1}, 0)^- = \overline{M(1)}^+.Qe^{\frac{i-1}{2p}\alpha - \alpha}.$$

Proof. Let us consider the case $i = 1$, i.e., the module $\overline{M(1)}^-$. So there is $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$H^{(2)}(0)Qe^{-\alpha} = \lambda_1 Qe^{-\alpha}.$$

Then applying the operator f we get:

$$6(Qe^{-2\alpha})(0)Qe^{-\alpha} + 2H^{(0)}(0)e^{-\alpha} = 2\lambda_1 e^{-\alpha},$$

which implies

$$-3e^{-2\alpha}(0)E + f_p(h_{4p-1,1})e^{-\alpha} = \lambda_1 e^{-\alpha}.$$

Let $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $e^{-2\alpha}(0)E = aF$. Then

$$H^{(2)}(0)E = aE.$$

Applying the automorphism Ψ we get

$$H^{(2)}(0)F = aF \implies a = f_p(h_{4p-1,1}).$$

We get

$$\lambda_1 = -2f_p(h_{4p-1,1}).$$

The general case is similar (just change $e^{-\alpha}$ with $e^{\frac{i-1}{2p}\alpha - \alpha}$ and use operator $f = -\Psi_{\Lambda(i)} \circ Q \circ \Psi_{\Lambda(i)}$. □

4. TWISTED $\overline{M(1)}$ AND $\mathcal{W}(p)$–MODULES

In this section we shall construct a family of Ψ–twisted modules for the vertex operator algebras $\overline{M(1)}$, $\mathcal{W}(p)$ and its subalgebras $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_m}$, $m \geq 1$. We shall start from τ–twisted modules constructed in [ALM1], and deform this action to get Ψ–twisted modules.

First we recall one well-known fact (see [DM] for details). Let V be a vertex operator algebra, τ and σ automorphisms of V. Assume that (M, Y_M) is a τ-twisted V–module. Then (M^σ, Y_M^σ) is a $\sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma$-twisted module, where

$$M^\sigma = M; \quad Y_M^\sigma(v, z) = Y_M(\sigma v, z).$$

Consider now twisted $\mathcal{W}(p)$–modules $\overline{R(j)} = V_{L+\frac{3p-j-1/2}{2p}\alpha}$, $j = 1, \dots, 2p$, studied previously in [ALM1]. These modules are τ–twisted $\mathcal{W}(p)$–modules, where $\tau = \exp[\frac{\pi i}{2p}\alpha(0)]$ is the automorphism of $\mathcal{W}(p)$ of order 2 (for more details see [ALM1]).

We have:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\sigma \in Aut(\mathcal{W}(p)) = PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. Then $\overline{R(j)}^\sigma$ is $\sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma$–twisted $\mathcal{W}(p)$–module. Assume that $\mathcal{W}_0$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}(p)$ such that*

$$\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} = 1 \quad \text{on} \ \ \mathcal{W}_0.$$

Then $\overline{R(j)}^\sigma$ is an untwisted $\mathcal{W}_0$–module.

Take now the following automorphism of the triplet vertex algebra

$$\sigma = \exp[\mu f] \exp[\lambda Q], \ \ \lambda\mu = -1/2.$$

Then

$$\sigma(H) = \lambda E + \mu F.$$
$$\sigma(E) = \frac{2\lambda^2 E - 2\lambda H + F}{2\lambda^2}$$
$$\sigma(F) = \frac{2\lambda^2 E + 2\lambda H + F}{4}$$

Let $U = \sigma(H)$. Then U generates the subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}(p)$ isomorphic to $\overline{M(1)}$.

Then

$$\tau(\sigma(H)) = \tau(U) = -U = -(\sigma(H)),$$

and

$$\sigma^{-1}\tau(\sigma(E)) = -\frac{2}{\lambda^2}F, \quad \sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma(F) = -\frac{\lambda^2}{2}E, \quad \sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma(H) = -H.$$

Take $\lambda = i$. Then

$$\sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma = \Psi.$$

Proposition 4.2. *For $j = 1, \dots, 2p$, we have*

(1) *$\overline{R(j)}^\sigma$ is a Ψ–twisted $\mathcal{W}(p)$–module.*
(2) *$\overline{R(j)}^\sigma$ is a Ψ–twisted $\overline{M(1)}$–module.*
(3) *$\overline{R(j)}^\sigma$ is an (untwisted) $\overline{M(1)}^+$–module.*
(4) *$\overline{R(j)}^\sigma$ is an (untwisted) $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$–module for every $m \geq 1$.*

We shall here discuss the $m = 1$ case (this case was also discussed in [F]). We have:

Proposition 4.3. *We have:*

$$\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_1} \cong \mathcal{W}(p)^{A_2}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_1}$ is a C_2–cofinite vertex operator algebra of type $(2, 2p-1, 6p-2)$.

Proof. We have

$$v \in \mathcal{W}(p)^{D_1} \iff \psi(v) = v \iff \sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma(v) = v \iff \sigma(v) \in \mathcal{W}(p)^{A_2}.$$

This implies that

$$\sigma|_{\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_1}} : \mathcal{W}(p)^{D_1} \to \mathcal{W}(p)^{A_2}$$

is an isomorphism of vertex operator algebras.

Since $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_2}$ is C_2–cofinite (cf.[ALM1]), we have that $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_1}$ is C_2–cofinite. □

We shall use $\mathcal{W}(p)^+$ instead of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_1}$.

5. The classification of twisted $\overline{M(1)}$–modules

In this section we study the problem of classification of irreducible Ψ–twisted $\overline{M(1)}$–modules. Provided that some technical conditions are satisfied (which can be verified for p small on computer), we completely described twisted Zhu's algebra $A_\Psi(\overline{M(1)})$, which gives the classification of irreducible twisted modules. This section is of independent interest.

Assume that $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W}(p))$ such that

$$\sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma = \Psi \quad \text{on } \overline{M(1)} \tag{1}$$

$$\sigma(H) = \lambda E + \mu F, \quad (\lambda, \mu \neq 0). \tag{2}$$

Then $\overline{R(j)}^{\sigma}$ is irreducible $\sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma$–twisted $\mathcal{W}(p)$–modules. But these modules can be treated as Ψ–twisted $\overline{M(1)}$–modules.

General form of Ψ–twisted $\overline{M(1)}$–modules can be obtained by using the theory of twisted Zhu's algebras.

Recall that for an automorphism τ of order two of the vertex operator algebra V, twisted Zhu's algebra $A_\tau(V) = V/O_\tau(V)$ where $O_\tau(V)$ is the linear span of the vectors of the form

$$a \circ^\tau b = \mathrm{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\deg(a)-1+\delta_r+r/2}}{z^{1+\delta_r}} Y(a,z)b$$

where $a \in V^r$, $\delta_0 = 1$, $\delta_1 = 0$, $r = 0, 1$.

We also define

$$a \circ_k^\tau b = \mathrm{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\deg(a)-1+\delta_r+r/2}}{z^{k+\delta_r}} Y(a,z)b \quad (k \geq 1).$$

We know that $a \circ_k^\tau b \in O_\tau(V)$.

Lemma 5.1. *There is a surjective homomorphism of associative algebras*

$$\Phi : A(L(c_{p,1}, 0)) \to A_\Psi(\overline{M(1)}).$$

In particular, the Ψ–twisted Zhu's algebra $A_\Psi(\overline{M(1)})$ is a quotient of the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[x]$. Moreover, every irreducible Ψ–twisted $\overline{M(1)}$–modules has the form $L^{tw}_{\overline{M(1)}}(h)$ such that the lowest component is 1–dimensional on which $[\omega]$ acts by multiplication with h.

Proof. Since $\overline{M(1)}$ is strongly generated by ω and H, then $A_\Psi(\overline{M(1)})$ is generated by

$$[\omega] = \omega + O_\Psi(\overline{M(1)}), \quad [H] = H + O_\Psi(\overline{M(1)}).$$

But, $H = H \circ \mathbf{1}$ implies that $A_\Psi(\overline{M(1)})$ is generated by $[\omega]$. This gives an algebra homomorphism

$$A(L(c_{p,1}, 0) \cong \mathbb{C}[x] \to A_\Psi(\overline{M(1)}).$$

The second assertion follows from the theory of Zhu's algebras. □

We have:

Theorem 5.2. *For every $j = 1, \dots, p$:*

(1) $\overline{R(j)}^{\sigma}$ *is an irreducible* Ψ*–twisted* $\overline{M(1)}$*–modules of lowest conformal weight* $h_{p+1/2-j,1}$.

(2) $\overline{R(j)}^{\sigma} \cong \overline{R(2p+1-j)}^{\sigma} \cong L^{tw}_{\overline{M(1)}}(h_{p+1/2-j,1})$.

(3) $\overline{R(j)}^{\sigma} \cong (\overline{R(j)}^{\sigma})^{+} \bigoplus (\overline{R(j)}^{\sigma})^{-}$, *and* $(\overline{R(j)}^{\sigma})^{\pm}$ *are irreducible* $\overline{M(1)}^{+}$*–modules.*

Proof. First we notice that as a module for the Virasoro algebra $\overline{R(j)}^{\sigma}$ is a direct sum of irreducible Feigin-Fuchs modules. Irreducibility follows easily from the fact that $\sigma(H) = \lambda E + \mu F$ maps Feigin-Fuchs module

$$e^{\frac{3p-1/2-s}{2p}\alpha} \otimes M(1) \to e^{\frac{p-1/2-s}{2p}\alpha} \otimes M(1) \bigoplus e^{\frac{5p-1/2-s}{2p}\alpha} \otimes M(1) \quad (s \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

This proofs of irreducibility of $\overline{R(j)}^{\sigma}$. The assertion (2) follows from (1), Zhu's algebra theory and the fact that $e^{\frac{p-1/2-j}{2p}\alpha}$ (resp. $e^{\frac{p-3/2+j}{2p}\alpha}$) is a lowest weight vector in $\overline{R(j)}^{\sigma}$ (resp. $\overline{R(2p+1-j)}^{\sigma}$).

Assertion (3) follows directly from (1) and (2). □

Conjecture 5.3. *Twisted Zhu's algebra* $A_{\Psi}(\overline{M(1)})$ *is isomorphic to*

$$\mathbb{C}[x]/\langle h_p(x)\rangle, \quad h_p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{p}(x - h_{p+1/2-i,1}).$$

We shall now see that Conjecture 5.3 holds for p small. We notice that

$$H \circ^{\tau} H, H \circ^{\tau}_{3} H \in U(Vir).\mathbf{1} = L(c_{p,1}, 0).$$

Therefore, there are polynomials $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ such that

$$[H \circ^{\tau} H] = f([\omega]), \quad [H \circ^{\tau}_{3} H] = g([\omega]) \ \in A(L(c_{p,1}, 0)) \cong \mathbb{C}[x].$$

But in twisted Zhu's algebra $A_{\Psi}(\overline{M(1)})$ we have

$$f([\omega]) = g([\omega]) = 0.$$

Since $\overline{R(j)}^{\sigma}$ are irreducible Ψ-twisted $\overline{M(1)}$–modules, we conclude that

$$f(h_{p+1/2-j,1}) = g(h_{p+1/2-j,1}) = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, p).$$

Therefore, f, g are divisible with h_p, and there exists polynomials f_1, g_1 such that

$$f = f_1 h_p, \quad g = g_1 h_p.$$

It is clear that the proof of Conjecture 5.3 will follow from

$$(f_1, g_1) = 1. \tag{3}$$

The polynomials f, g can be determined by evaluating above relations on $M(1)$–modules $M(1, \lambda)$. Since such construction appear in many paper, we shall omit some details and only present formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \mathrm{Res}_{z_1,z_2,z_3} \frac{(1+z_1)^{2p-t-3/2}(1+z_2)^{t}(1+z_3)^{t}}{z_1^{-2p+1}(z_2 z_3)^{2p}} \\ &\quad \left((z_1-z_2)^{-2p}(z_1-z_3)^{-2p}(z_2-z_3)^{2p} - (z_2-z_1)^{-2p}(z_1-z_3)^{-2p}(z_2-z_3)^{2p}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \mathrm{Res}_{z_1,z_2,z_3} \frac{(1+z_1)^{2p-t-3/2}(1+z_2)^{t}(1+z_3)^{t}}{z_1^{-2p+3}(z_2 z_3)^{2p}} \\ &\quad \left((z_1-z_2)^{-2p}(z_1-z_3)^{-2p}(z_2-z_3)^{2p} - (z_2-z_1)^{-2p}(z_1-z_3)^{-2p}(z_2-z_3)^{2p}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $x = \frac{t(t-2p+2)}{4p}$.

It turns out that formulas for polynomials f_1, g_1 are very complicated.

By using Mathematica/Maple we get a list of polynomials $f_1(x)$ and $g_1(x)$ for $p \leq 5$ is (up to a scalar factor):

p	$f_1(x)$	$g_1(x)$
2	$8x-3$	$32x-9$
3	$105-256x+256x^2$	$455-1136x+1536x^2$
4	$-23625+68960x-59392x^2+32768x^3$	$-401625+1216000x-1024000x^2+786432x^3$
5	$2837835-9007488x+10473984x^2-4587520x^3+1638400x^4$	$3972969-12744144x+15591168x^2-6410240x^3+3276800x^4$

We checked by computer that all polynomials f_1, g_1 are relatively prime for $p \leq 20$.

Theorem 5.4. *Assume that condition (3) holds (verified for p small). Then Conjecture 5.3 holds and the set*

$$\{L^{tw}_{M(1)}(h_{p+1/2-j,1}) \mid j = 1, \dots, p\}$$

provides all irreducible, $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$–graded Ψ–twisted $\overline{M(1)}$–modules.

Proof. We know that $\mathrm{Ker}(\Phi)$ is a principal ideal in $\mathbb{C}[x] \cong A(L(c_{p,1},0))$ generated by certain polynomial $\widehat{h}([\omega])$ such that $h_p | \widehat{h}$. Since $f([\omega]), g([\omega]) \in \mathrm{Ker}(\Phi)$, condition (3) implies that $h_p([\omega]) \in \mathrm{Ker}(\Phi)$. This proves the assertion. $\square$

Remark 5.5. *It was proved by C. Dong and C. Jiang in* [DJ] *that semi-simplicity of twisted Zhu's algebra $A_g(V)$ implies g rationality. By using this result we conclude that every Ψ–twisted $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$–graded $\overline{M(1)}$–module is completely reducible.*

6. $\overline{M(1)}^+$ AND $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$–MODULES FROM TWISTED MODULES

We have the following $2p$ irreducible $\overline{M(1)}^+$–modules

$$R(j)^\sigma := (\overline{R(j)}^\sigma)^- \cong (\overline{R(2p+1-j)}^\sigma)^-,$$
$$R(2p+1-j)^\sigma := (\overline{R(j)}^\sigma)^+ \cong (\overline{R(2p+1-j)}^\sigma)^+.$$

These modules are irreducible $\overline{M(1)}^+$–modules, and therefore they are irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$–modules for every $m \geq 1$.

First we shall identify these modules as $\overline{M(1)}^+$–modules.

Proposition 6.1. *For every $1 \leq i \leq 2p$, there exists an irreducible $\overline{M(1)}^+$–module $L_{\overline{M(1)}^+}(h_{3p+1/2-i,1}, -\frac{1}{2}f_p(h_{3p+1/2-i,1}))$ with lowest weight*

$$(h_{3p+1/2-i,1}, -\frac{1}{2}f_p(h_{3p+1/2-i,1})).$$

Moreover,

$$R(i)^\sigma \cong L_{\overline{M(1)}^+}(h_{3p+1/2-i,1}, -\frac{1}{2}f_p(h_{3p+1/2-i,1})).$$

Proof. First we notice that the vector $e^{\frac{3p-1/2-j}{2p}\alpha}$ is a lowest weight vector in $R(j)^\sigma$ for $\overline{M(1)}^+$. The action of generator $H^{(2)}$ is

$$\sigma(H^{(2)}) = -\frac{1}{2}H^{(2)} + a_1 F^{(2)} + a_2 E^{(2)}$$

for certain constants a_1, a_2. This implies that lowest weight with respect to $(L(0), H^{(2)}(0))$ is

$$(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}, -1/2 f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1})).$$

The proof follows. $\square$

Remark 6.2. It is known that for $p = 1$, the lattice vertex algebra V_L carries an action of the $A_1^{(1)}$ affine Lie algebra. In Chapters 3 and 4 of [FLM], Frenkel, Lepowsky and Meurman discuss twisted and untwisted constructions of the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$. Two twisted realizations of $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}[\theta_1]$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}[\theta_2]$ are constructed there, where θ_1 and θ_2 are two obvious involutions of A_1. In Section 3 (loc.cit) (essentially Lepowsky-Wilson's construction), $\hat{a}[\theta_2]$ acts on the twisted Heisenberg Fock space $S(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}+1/2})$, and then in Section 4 a different construction is presented on a pair of θ_1-twisted V_L-modules. Finally, a graded isomorphism of two $A_1^{(1)}$-modules is constructed by using automorphism σ (for definition see Chapter 4 (loc.cit)).

But in this $\mathcal{W}$-algebra picture, where $p \geq 2$, there is no such thing as $S(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}+1/2})$, so instead we have to reverse the steps. We use the automorphism τ (corresponding to θ_1), τ-twisted modules $\overline{R(j)}$ defined in [ALM1], and an automorphism σ constructed in Section 4, to *define* $\sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma$-twisted action of $\mathcal{W}(p)$ on the same space. This in turn gives a family of irreducible $\overline{M(1)}^+$–modules needed in Proposition 6.1. Thus our construction should be viewed as a $\mathcal{W}$-generalization of the relevant parts of [FLM].

Lemma 6.3. *There is an automorphism h of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$ such that*

$$h(U^{(m)}) = -U^{(m)}, \quad h(H^{(2)}) = H^{(2)}.$$

Proof. The automorphism h is realized as a restriction of the automorphism

$$\exp[\frac{\pi i}{2mp}\alpha(0)]$$

of the lattice vertex algebra V_L. □

Proposition 6.4. *For every $1 \leq j \leq 2p$, $R(j)^{\sigma}$ and $R(j)^{h\sigma}$ are irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$–modules with lowest weights*

$$(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}, -1/2 f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}), a_j), \ (h_{3p+1/2-j,1}, -1/2 f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}), -a_j),$$

where $a_j \neq 0$. In this way we have constructed $4p$ non-isomorphic irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$–modules.

Proof. Theorem 5.2 gives that $R(j)^{\sigma}$ is $\overline{M(1)}^+$–modules, and therefore it is also irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$–module. Lowest weight component of these modules is 1–dimensional, spanned by vector v, and the lowest weight with respect to $(L(0), H^2(0), U^m(0))$

$$(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}, -1/2 f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}), a_j) \quad \text{for } R(j)^g,$$

where $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $Y(U^{(m)}, z)v \neq 0$, we have that there is n_0 such that

$$U_{n_0}^{(m)} v \neq 0, \quad U_n^{(m)} v = 0 \ (n > n_0).$$

One can easily see that $U_{n_0}^{(m)} v$ is a singular vector for $\overline{M(1)}^+$. Irreducibility of $R(j)^{\sigma}$ as $\overline{M(1)}^+$–module gives that $U_{n_0}^{(m)} v$ is proportional to v, and therefore $U_{n_0}^{(m)} v = a_j v$ and $a_j \neq 0$. Applying the automorphism h of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$ we get that $R(j)^{h\sigma}$ is irreducible lowest weight module with lowest weight

$$(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}, -1/2 f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}), -a_j).$$

The proof follows. □

7. CLASSIFICATION OF IRREDUCIBLE $\overline{M(1)}^+$–MODULES

In this section we study the problem of classification of $\overline{M(1)}^+$–modules by using Zhu's algebra theory. We will prove that every irreducible $\overline{M(1)}^+$–modules is realized as a submodule of untwisted $\overline{M(1)}$–modules or Ψ–twisted $\overline{M(1)}$–modules.

For $a, b \in \mathcal{W}(p)$ we define

$$a \tilde{\circ} b = \mathrm{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\deg(a)}}{z^3} Y(a,z)b,$$

$$a \tilde{\circ}_k b = \mathrm{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\deg(a)}}{z^k} Y(a,z)b.$$

Lemma 7.1. *Inside the Zhu algebra $A(\overline{M(1)}^+)$ we have the following relations:*

(1)

$$([H^{(2)}] - f_p([\omega])) * ([H^{(2)}] - r_p([\omega])) = 0,$$

(2)

$$\ell_p([\omega]) * ([H^{(2)}] - f_p([\omega])) = 0,$$

where $r_p, \ell_p \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, $\deg r_p \le 3p-1$, $\deg \ell_p \le 3p$.

Proof. By using Lemma 3.1 we get that if $R(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$ such that

$$R([\omega], [H^{(2)}]) = 0 \implies (y - f_p(x)) \mid R(x,y).$$

Now assertion (1) follows from relation

$$[H^{(2)}] * [H^{(2)}] - f([\omega]) * [H^{(2)}] - g([\omega]) = 0,$$

where $\deg(f) \le 3p-1$, $\deg(g) \le 6p-2$. Assertion (2) follows from relation

$$0 = [H^{(2)} \tilde{\circ} H^{(2)}] = \tilde{f}([\omega])[H^{(2)}] + \tilde{g}([\omega]) = 0,$$

where $\deg(\tilde{f}) \le 3p$, $\deg(\tilde{g}) \le 6p-1$. □

Irreducible representations of $\overline{M(1)}^+$ are parameterized by lowest weight (x,y) with respect to $(L(0), H^{(2)}(0))$. Lemma 7.1 and Theorem 2.1 imply the following result.

Proposition 7.2. *Let $L_{\overline{M(1)}^+}(x,y)$ be irreducible $\overline{M(1)}^+$–module of lowest weight (x,y). Then*

$$(x,y) \in \mathcal{S}_p = \mathcal{S}_p^{(1)} \cup \mathcal{S}_p^{(2)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_p^{(1)} &= \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid y = f_p(x)\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_p^{(2)} &= \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \ell_p(x) = 0,\ y = r_p(x)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

By evaluating relations (1) and (2) in Lemma 7.1 on $\overline{M(1)}^+$–modules, we get the following formulas for polynomials $\ell_p(x)$ and $r_p(x)$.

Corollary 7.3.

(1) *There is a constant A_p (possibly zero) such that*

$$\ell_p(x) = A_p \prod_{i=1}^{p} (x - h_{4p-i,1}) \prod_{i=1}^{2p} (x - h_{3p+1/2-i,1}).$$

(2) *The polynomial* r_p *is non-trivial and satisfies the following interpolation conditions:*

$$r_p(h_{4p-i,1}) = -2f_p(h_{4p-i,1}), \qquad r_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}) = -\tfrac{1}{2}f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}),$$

for $i = 1, \dots, p$, $j = 1, \dots, 2p$.

The following Corollary is a direct consequence of the proof Lemma 7.1 and it is important for determination of C_2–algebra $\mathcal{P}(\overline{M(1)}^+) = \overline{M(1)}^+/C_2(\overline{M(1)}^+)$.

Corollary 7.4. *In* $\mathcal{P}(\overline{M(1)}^+)$ *we have that*

(i)

$$(\overline{H^{(2)}} - a\overline{\omega}^{3p-1})(\overline{H^{(2)}} - b\overline{\omega}^{3p-1}) = 0,$$

(ii)

$$A_p\overline{\omega}^{3p}(\overline{H^{(2)}} - a\overline{\omega}^{3p-1}) = 0,$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, $a \neq 0$.

Now, we develop a different method for calculation of polynomials r_p and ℓ_p. We should say that in the case $p = 1$, these polynomials are calculated by C. Dong and K. Nagatomo in [DN1]. We shall follow our methods for developing calculations in Zhu's algebra for triplet vertex algebras from [AM2] and [AM3].

Lemma 7.5. *Let* $f(x) = f_p(x) + r_p(x)$. *In Zhu's algebra* $A(\overline{M(1)})$ *we have:*

(1)

$$f([\omega]) * [H^{(2)}] = [Q^2(Qe^{-2\alpha} * Qe^{-2\alpha})],$$

(2)

$$\ell_p([\omega]) * [H^{(2)}] = [Q^2(Qe^{-2\alpha}\tilde{\circ}Qe^{-2\alpha})].$$

Proof. First we notice that

$$[H^{(2)}] * [H^{(2)}] = f([\omega]) * [H^{(2)}] - f_p([\omega]) * r_p([\omega]).$$

Applying the operator Qf on this relation, we get relation (1). Similarly from

$$0 = [H^{(2)}\tilde{\circ}H^{(2)}] = \ell_p([\omega]) * [H^{(2)}] - \ell_p([\omega]) * f_p([\omega]) \in A(\overline{M(1)}^+),$$

applying again the operator Qf, we infer relation (2). □

We shall evaluate these relations on top components of lowest weight $M(1)$–modules. Let

$$u = Q^2(Qe^{-2\alpha} * Qe^{-2\alpha}) = -Q^2(e^{-2\alpha} * Q^2e^{-2\alpha}),$$

$$\tilde{u} = Q^2(Qe^{-2\alpha}\tilde{\circ}Qe^{-2\alpha}) = Q^3(e^{-2\alpha}\tilde{\circ}Qe^{-2\alpha}) - Q^2(e^{-2\alpha}\tilde{\circ}Q^2e^{-2\alpha}).$$

Next we get

$$\begin{aligned} & o(u)v_\lambda = G_p(t)v_\lambda \\ G_p(t) \;=\; & \mathrm{Res}_{z,z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4}\Big(\frac{(1+z)^{6p-2-2t}}{z^{-8p+1}(z_1z_2z_3z_4)^{4p}}\ \Delta_4(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)^{2p} \\ & ((z_1-z)(z_2-z)(z-z_3)(z-z_4))^{-4p}(1+z_1)^t(1+z_2)^t(1+z_3)^t(1+z_4)^t\Big). \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& o(\tilde{u})v_\lambda = \widetilde{G}_p(t)v_\lambda \\
\widetilde{G}_p(t) \quad = \quad & \mathrm{Res}_{z,z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4}\Big(\frac{(1+z)^{6p-2-2t}}{z^{-8p+3}(z_1z_2z_3z_4)^{4p}}\ \Delta_4(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)^{2p} \\
& ((z_1-z)(z_2-z)(z_3-z)(z-z_4))^{-4p}(1+z_1)^t(1+z_2)^t(1+z_3)^t(1+z_4)^t\Big) \\
& -\mathrm{Res}_{z,z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4}\Big(\frac{(1+z)^{6p-2-2t}}{z^{-8p+3}(z_1z_2z_3z_4)^{4p}}\ \Delta_4(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)^{2p} \\
& ((z_1-z)(z_2-z)(z-z_3)(z-z_4))^{-4p}(1+z_1)^t(1+z_2)^t(1+z_3)^t(1+z_4)^t\Big) \\
& = \mathrm{Res}_{z,z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4}\Big(\frac{(1+z)^{6p-2-2t}}{z^{-8p+3}(z_1z_2z_3z_4)^{4p}}\ \Delta_4(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)^{2p}\frac{\partial_z^{4p-1}}{(4p-1)!}z_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z}{z_3}\right) \\
& \cdot((z_1-z)(z_2-z)(z-z_4))^{-4p}(1+z_1)^t(1+z_2)^t(1+z_3)^t(1+z_4)^t\Big),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta(x)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}x^n$ is the formal δ-function.

Polynomial ℓ_p can be obtained by using the following constant term identity:

Conjecture 7.6.

$$
\widetilde{G}_p(t) = A_p\binom{t+p+1/2}{4p}\binom{t+2p}{4p-1}\binom{t}{4p-1}, \quad A_p\neq 0.
$$

Proposition 7.7. *Assume that Conjecture 7.6 holds, then*

$$
\ell_p(x) = \nu\prod_{i=3p}^{4p-1}(x-h_{i,1})\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{2p}(x-h_{3p+1/2-i,1}) \quad (\nu\neq 0).
$$

Remark 7.8. *We checked Conjecture 7.6 by using Mathematica for $p\leq 10$.*

Example 7.9. *Let $p=1$. Using Mathematica/Maple we get*

$$
r_1(x) = -\frac{908x^2-515x+27}{105}.
$$

In this way, we have reconstructed the result from [DN1] *(we take slightly different normalization).*

For $p=2$ we get the following formula:

$$
r_2(x) = \frac{8(-8505-16875x+655191x^2-1359879x^3+642800x^4+10048x^5)}{984555},
$$

Theorem 7.10. *Assume that Conjecture 7.6 holds. The modules constructed in Propositions 3.2, 6.1 and 3.3 provide a complete list of irreducible $\overline{M(1)}^+$–modules.*

Proof. Assume that U_{x_0,y_0} is an irreducible $\overline{M(1)}^+$–module with lowest weight (x_0,y_0). If $(x_0,y_0)\in\mathcal{S}_p^{(1)}$, by Proposition 3.2 we know that there is a unique irreducible module with this lowest weight.

If $(x_0,y_0)\notin\mathcal{S}_p^{(1)}$, then $\ell_p(x_0)=0$. This implies that $x_0=h_{4p-i,1}$ for certain $1\leq i\leq p$ or $x_0=h_{3p+1/2-i,1}$ for certain $1\leq i\leq 2p$. Then $y_0=r_p(x_0)$. Therefore $(x_0,y_0)\in\mathcal{S}_p^{(2)}$. The proof follows. □

8. THE VERTEX ALGEBRA $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$

In this section we shall present a complete results on classification of irreducible modules, the structure of Zhu's algebra and C_2–algebra for the vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$. It turns out that many relations obtained in the case of the vertex operator algebra $\overline{M(1)}^+$ can be used directly.

We first recall that the vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$ is strongly generated by

$$\omega,\ H^{(2)},\ U^{(2)} = 24F^{(2)} + E^{(2)}.$$

Therefore Zhu's algebra $A(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2})$ is generated by $[\omega], [H^{(2)}]$ and $[U^{(2)}]$.

Next we need the construction of an automorphism of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$.

Lemma 8.1. *There exists an automorphism of the vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$ such that*

$$g(H^{(2)}) = -\frac{1}{2}H^{(2)} - \frac{1}{8}U^{(2)},$$

$$g(U^{(2)}) = 6H^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2}U^{(2)}.$$

Proof. One can directly show that

$$g = \alpha|_{\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}}$$

where $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W}(p))$,

$$\alpha = \big[\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & -\frac{1}{2a} \\ a & \frac{1}{2a} \end{smallmatrix}\right)\big] \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$$

where complex number a is such that $4a^4 = -1$. Proof follows. □

Remark 8.2. *The automorphismsi g and h generates S_3. Therefore, S_3 is a subgroup of the full automorphism group of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$. In fact, by using the similar proof as in* [DGR], *one can show that*

$$Aut(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}) \cong S_3.$$

Let $A_0(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2})$ denote the subalgebra of Zhu's algebra $A(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2})$ generated by $[\omega]$ and $[H^{(2)}]$. First we notice that in $A(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2})$

$$\frac{1}{24}[U^{(2)}] * [U^{(2)}] + 2[H^{(2)}] * [H^{(2)}] + 4[Q^2(Qe^{-2\alpha} * Qe^{-2\alpha})] = 0$$

which implies that

$$[U^{(2)}] * [U^{(2)}] \in A_0(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}).$$

Thus we have

$$A(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}) = A_0(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}) \oplus A_2(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}),$$

where

$$A_2(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}) = A_0(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}).[U^{(2)}].$$

Similarly we have decomposition:

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}) = \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}) \oplus \mathcal{P}_2(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2})$$

where $\mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2})$ is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2})$ generated by $\overline{\omega}$ and $\overline{H^{(2)}}$ and

$$\mathcal{P}_2(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}) = \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}).\overline{U^{(2)}}.$$

Lemma 8.3.

(1) *In $A(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2})$ we have*

$$\ell_p([\omega]) * [H^{(2)}] = 0,$$

and

$$\ell_p([\omega]) * f_p([\omega]) = 0$$

where

$$\ell_p(x) = A_p \prod_{i=1}^{p} (x - h_{4p-i,1}) \prod_{i=1}^{2p} (x - h_{3p+1/2-i,1}).$$

(2) *In* $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2})$ *we have*

$$A_p \overline{\omega}^{3p}\overline{H^{(2)}} = 0$$

and

$$A_p \overline{\omega}^{6p-1} = 0.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= Q^4(F^{(2)}\tilde{\circ}F^{(2)}) = E^{(2)}\tilde{\circ}F^{(2)} + F^{(2)}\tilde{\circ}E^{(2)} \\ &\quad +4(Q^3e^{-2\alpha}\tilde{\circ}Qe^{-2\alpha} + Qe^{-2\alpha}\tilde{\circ}Q^3e^{-2\alpha}) + 6H^{(2)}\tilde{\circ}H^{(2)} \\ &= E^{(2)}\tilde{\circ}F^{(2)} + F^{(2)}\tilde{\circ}E^{(2)} + 6H^{(2)}\tilde{\circ}H^{(2)} - 4H^{(2)}\tilde{\circ}H^{(2)} \\ &\quad +4Q((Q^2e^{-2\alpha} \circ Qe^{-2\alpha} + Qe^{-2\alpha} \circ Q^2e^{-2\alpha}) \\ &= E^{(2)}\tilde{\circ}F^{(2)} + F^{(2)}\tilde{\circ}E^{(2)} + 2H^{(2)}\tilde{\circ}H^{(2)} \\ &\quad +4Q^2(Qe^{-2\alpha}\tilde{\circ}Qe^{-2\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$U^{(2)}\tilde{\circ}U^{(2)} = 24(F^{(2)}\tilde{\circ}E^{(2)} + E^{(2)}\tilde{\circ}F^{(2)}),$$

we get

$$Q^2(Qe^{-2\alpha}\tilde{\circ}Qe^{-2\alpha}) \in O(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}).$$

On the other hand by Corollary 7.3 and Lemma 7.5 we have that

$$[Q^2(Qe^{-2\alpha}\tilde{\circ}Qe^{-2\alpha})] = \ell_p([\omega]) * [H^{(2)}] \in A(\overline{M(1)}^+).$$

Now (1) follows from the structure of Zhu's algebra $A(\overline{M(1)}^+)$. Statement (2) follows easily from the proof of (1) and the fact that

$$Q^2(Qe^{-2\alpha}_{-3}Qe^{-2\alpha}) \in C_2(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}).$$

□

Lemma 8.4. *We have*

$$\ell_p([\omega]) * [U^{(2)}] = 0 \quad in \quad A(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}),$$
$$A_p\overline{\omega}^{3p}\overline{U^{(2)}} = 0 \quad in \quad \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}).$$

Proof. Lemma 8.1 gives $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2})$ such that

$$g(H^{(2)}) = -1/2H^{(2)} - 1/8U^{(2)}.$$

Now assertion follows by applying this automorphism on relations from Lemma 8.3. □

By using Corollary 7.4 and Lemmas 8.3 and 8.4 we conclude

$$\dim A(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}) \le \dim \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}) \le 12p - 1.$$

Now we shall present a list of $11p$ irreducible modules for $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$. We shall get these modules as subquotients of irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_2}$–modules or twisted $\mathcal{W}(p)$–modules.

First we recall that in [ALM1], we have constructed modules

$$R(j) = V_{\mathbb{Z}(2\alpha) + \frac{3p-j-1/2}{2p}\alpha}, \quad j = 1, \dots, 4p.$$

These modules are irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_2}$–modules and irreducible twisted $\mathcal{W}(p)$-modules. The following proposition shows that in this way we get $2p$-inequivalent $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$–modules.

Proposition 8.5. *We have:*

(1) $R(j)$ *are irreducible* $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$*–modules.*

(2) $R(j) \cong R(4p+1-j)$, $j = 1, \dots, 2p$.

(3) *Lowest weight of module* $R(j)$*, with respect to* $(L(0), H^{(2)}(0), U^{(2)}(0))$ *is*

$$(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}, f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}), 0).$$

Proof. First we notice that $\Psi \circ R(j) = R(4p+1-j)$. Therefore $\Psi \circ R(j)$ is not isomorphic to $R(j)$, and Theorem 6.1 of [DM] gives that $R(j)$ and $R(4p+1-j)$ are isomorphic as $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$–modules. This proves assertions (1) and (2). Proof of assertion (3) is easy. $\square$

Let $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2})$ constructed earlier. Then g has order three.

Proposition 8.6. *For every* $1 \leq i \leq 2p$*, are* $R(j)^g$ *and* $R(j)^{g^{-1}}$ *modules irreducible* $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$*–modules. The lowest weight of* $R(j)^g$ *and* $R(j)^{g^{-1}}$ *are*

$$(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}, -1/2 f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}), 6 f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}))$$

and

$$(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}, -1/2 f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}), -6 f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}))$$

respectively. In this way we have constructed $4p$*–irreducible* $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$*–modules.*

Proof. Since $R(j)$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$–modules, by construction $R(j)^g$ and $R(j)^{g^{-1}}$ must be irreducible. Applying automorphism g we get that lowest weight of $R(j)^g$

$$(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}, -1/2 f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}), 6 f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1})).$$

Applying the automorphism $g^2 = g^{-1}$ we get that $R(j)^{g^{-1}}$ has lowest weight

$$(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}, -1/2 f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}), -6 f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1})).$$

Proof follows. $\square$

Proposition 8.7. *We have:*

(1) $\Pi(j)^{\pm}$ *are irreducible* $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$*–modules.*

(2) $\Pi(j)^{+} \cong \Pi(j)^{-}$, $j = 1, \dots, p$.

Consider now a Λ–family of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_2}$–modules from [ALM1]:

$$\Lambda(i)_0, \Lambda(i)_2, \ i = 1, \dots, p.$$

Let U be any irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_2}$–module from Λ–series. Then U is Ψ–invariant and therefore splits into a direct sum of two irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$–modules:

$$U = U^{+} \oplus U^{-}; \quad U^{\pm} = \{v \in U \mid \Psi(u) = \pm u\}.$$

In this way we get $4p$ non-isomorphic $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$–modules.

Proposition 8.8. *Modules* $\Lambda(i)_0^{\pm}$ *and* $\Lambda(i)_2^{\pm}$ *are non-isomorphic irreducible* $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$*–modules. We have:*

$$(\Lambda(i)_0^{-})^{g} = \Lambda(i)_2^{-}, \quad (\Lambda(i)_0^{-})^{g^{-1}} = \Lambda(i)_2^{+}.$$

Proof. By construction and using Galois theory we have that these modules are irreducible and non-isomorphic. Let us identify lowest weights. Clearly, lowest weight of $\Lambda(i)_0^{+}$ is $(h_{i,1}, 0, 0)$.

Lowest weight of $\Lambda(i)^{-}$ has the form $(h_{4p-i,1}, -2 f_p(h_{4p-i,1}), 0)$. Applying the automorphism g we get that lowest weights of $\Lambda(i)_2^{\pm}$ are

$$(h_{4p-i,1}, f_p(h_{4p-i,1}), \pm 12 f_p(h_{4p-i,1})).$$

$\square$

In this way we have constructed $11p$ non-isomorphic irreducible modules. Since $\mathcal{W}(p)$ has $p-1$ logarithmic representations obtained in [AM3], we get that

$$\dim A(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}) \geq 12p - 1.$$

Lemma 8.9. *In Zhu's algebra $A(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2})$ we have:*

$$[H^{(2)}] * [U^{(2)}] = [U^{(2)}] * [H^{(2)}] = g_p([\omega]) * [U^{(2)}],$$

where g_p is a polynomial of degree $\deg g_p \leq 3p-1$. In particular, $A(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2})$ is a commutative algebra.

Proof. By definition of multiplication in Zhu's algebra we see that

$$[H^{(2)}] * [U^{(2)}] = \widetilde{r_1}([\omega]) * [U^{(2)}], \ [U^{(2)}] * [H^{(2)}] = \widetilde{r_2}([\omega]) * [U^{(2)}],$$

for certain polynomials $\widetilde{r_1}, \widetilde{r_2}$ of degree $\deg \widetilde{r_1} \leq 3p-1$, $\deg \widetilde{r_2} \leq 3p-1$. By applying the above relations on lowest component of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$–modules we get:

$$\widetilde{r_1} = \widetilde{r_2} = g_p$$

where g_p is a polynomial of degree $\deg g_p \leq 3p-1$ satisfying the following interpolation conditions:

$$g_p(h_{4p-i,1}) = f_p(h_{4p-i,1}), \qquad g_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}) = -\tfrac{1}{2} f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}),$$

for $i = 1, \dots, p$, $j = 1, \dots, 2p$. The proof follows. □

We have:

Theorem 8.10. *Assume that $A_p \neq 0$. Then*

$$\dim \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}) = \dim A(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}) = 12p - 1.$$

Moreover, the set

$$\{\Lambda(i)_0^{\pm}, \Lambda(i)_2^{\pm}, \Pi(i)^+, R(j), R(j)^g, R(j)^{g^{-1}}, i = 1, \dots, p, \ j = 1, \dots, 2p.\}$$

provides a complete list of irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$–modules.

Corollary 8.11. *Zhu's algebra $A(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2})$ is a $12p-1$ dimensional commutative algebra.*

Proof. We will use our result on classification of irreducible modules and dimension of Zhu's algebra. Zhu's algebra $A(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2})$ is isomorphic to a direct product of $10p+1$ 1-dimensional ideals ideals, and $(p-1)$ 2-dimensional indecomposable ideals which corresponds to logarithmic modules constructed in [AM3]. □

Remark 8.12. *By comparing lowest weights (and using Zhu's algebra theory), one gets that*

$$R(j)^{hg} \cong R(j)^{g^{-1}}$$

as $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$–modules.

Corollary 8.13. *The vector space spanned by irreducible characters of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$-modules is $5p$ dimensional with basis*

$$\{ch\ \Lambda(i)_0^{\pm}, ch\ \Pi(i)^+, ch\ R(j), \ i = 1, \dots, p, \ j = 1, \dots, 2p\},$$

and it is the same as the vector space spanned by irreducible characters for $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_2}$. Moreover, the modular closure of irreducible characters of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$-modules is $6p-1$ dimensional and it is the same as that for $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_2}$.

Let us list explicitly lowest weights of irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$–modules.

module M	$L(0)$	$H^{(2)}(0)$	$U^{(2)}(0)$
$\Lambda(i)_0^+$	$h_{i,1}$	0	0
$\Lambda(i)_0^-$	$h_{i,3}$	$-2f_p(h_{i,3})$	0
$\Lambda(i)_2^+$	$h_{i,3}$	$f_p(h_{i,3})$	$12f_p(h_{i,3})$
$\Lambda(i)_2^-$	$h_{i,3}$	$f_p(h_{i,3})$	$-12f_p(h_{i,3})$
$\Pi(i)^+ \cong \Pi(i)^-$	$h_{p+i,3}$	$f_p(h_{p+i,3})$	0
$R(j)$	$h_{3p+1/2-j,1}$	$f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1})$	0
$R(j)^g$	$h_{3p+1/2-j,1}$	$-\frac{1}{2}f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1})$	$6f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1})$
$R(j)^{g^{-1}}$	$h_{3p+1/2-j,1}$	$-\frac{1}{2}f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1})$	$-6f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1})$

9. C_2–COFINITENESS OF THE VERTEX ALGEBRA $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$

In previous section, we described Zhu's algebra and C_2–algebra for the vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$ in the case $m = 2$. For these results we needed a technical condition that the polynomial $\ell_p(x)$ is a non-trivial polynomial. But non-triviality of polynomial $\ell_p(x)$ is related to certain constant term identity for which we do not have proof in full-generality (We checked this for p small).

Now we shall consider $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$. We know that this vertex algebra is strongly generated by ω, $H^{(2)}$ and $U^{(m)} = (2m)!F^{(m)} + E^{(m)}$. As in [ALM1], we have natural homomorphism

$$\Phi : \mathcal{P}(\overline{M(1)}^+) \to \mathcal{P}(M(1)), \ \ \overline{\omega} \mapsto \frac{1}{4p}\beta^2, \ \overline{H^{(2)}} \mapsto \frac{a}{(4p)^{3p-1}}\beta^{6p-2},$$

where $a \neq 0$.

Lemma 9.1. *Assume that $m \geq 2$. Then there exists an odd integer n, $2 < n < 4m(p-1)+4$ such that*

$$U^{(m)}_{-n}U^{(m)} \in \overline{M(1)}^+ \quad \textit{and} \quad \Phi(\overline{U^{(m)}_{-n}U^{(m)}}) \neq 0.$$

Proof. Assume the contrary. Then we have, for each odd integer n, $2 < n < 2m(p-1)+4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{U^{(m)}_{-n}U^{(m)}} \\
=&\overline{(2m)!(E^{(m)}_{-n}F^{(m)} + F^{(m)}_{-n}E^{(m)})} \\
=&\overline{2(2m)!(F^{(m)}_{-n}E^{(m)})} \\
=&0,
\end{aligned}$$

in $M(1)/C_2(M(1))$.

By the above computation and the proof of Lemma 3.3 of [ALM1], we obtain the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{-mx_0}x_0^{-2m^2p}(b_0 + \cdots + b_{2m(-p+1)}x_0^{2m(-p+1)}) \\
=&\sum_{n=-2m(mp+p-1)}^{+\infty} c_n x_0^n,
\end{aligned}$$

where $b_{2m(-p+1)} \neq 0$, and $c_n = 0$ when n is an odd integer and $2 < n < 4m(p-1)+4$. This contradicts Lemma 9.5 below and completes the proof. □

The following lemma will give a non-trivial polynomial of possibly larger degree which annihilates $([H^{(2)}] - f_p([\omega]))$.

Lemma 9.2.

(1) *In* $A(\overline{M(1)}^{+})$ *we have*

$$\ell([\omega]) * ([H^{(2)}] - f_p([\omega])) = 0$$

for certain polynomial $\ell \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, $\deg \ell \geq 3p$, $\ell_p(x) \mid \ell(x)$.

(1') *In* $A(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2})$ *we have*

$$\ell([\omega]) * ([H^{(2)}]) = 0$$

for certain polynomial $\ell \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, $\deg \ell \geq 3p$, $\ell_p(x) \mid \ell(x)$.

(2) *In* $\mathcal{P}(\overline{M(1)}^{+})$ *we have*

$$\overline{\omega}^{n}(\overline{H^{(2)}} - a\overline{\omega}^{3p-1}) = 0$$

where $a \neq 0$ *and* $n \geq 3p$.

(2') *In* $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2})$ *we have*

$$\overline{\omega}^{n}(\overline{H^{(2)}}) = 0, \quad \overline{\omega}^{3p+n-1} = 0$$

where $n \geq 3p$.

Proof. Take $k \geq 2$ such that

$$\Phi(\overline{U^{(2)}_{-k}U^{(2)}}) \neq 0.$$

As before, in $A(\overline{M(1)}^{+})$ we have relation

$$[H^{(2)} \tilde{\circ}_k H^{(2)}] = \ell([\omega]) * ([H^{(2)}] - f_p([\omega])),$$

for certain polynomial $\ell \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, and

$$\ell([\omega]) * [H^{(2)}] = \nu [Q^2(Qe^{-2\alpha} \tilde{\circ}_k Qe^{-2\alpha})] \quad (\nu \neq 0).$$

As in the proof of Lemma 8.3 we have

$$E^{(2)} \tilde{\circ}_k F^{(2)} + F^{(2)} \tilde{\circ}_k E^{(2)} + 2H^{(2)} \tilde{\circ}_k H^{(2)} + 4Q^2(Qe^{-2\alpha} \tilde{\circ}_k Qe^{-2\alpha}) = 0.$$

This implies that in $A(\overline{M(1)})$:

$$[Q^2(Qe^{-2\alpha} \tilde{\circ}_k Qe^{-2\alpha})] = \widetilde{\ell}([\omega])[H^{(2)}]$$

for certain non-trivial polynomial $\widetilde{\ell}(x)$. This proves the assertion (1). Assertion (1') follows from the fact that

$$Q^2(Qe^{-2\alpha} \tilde{\circ}_k Qe^{-2\alpha}) \in O(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}).$$

Relations (2) and (2') follows from (1) and (1'). ☐

Remark 9.3. *Lemma 9.2 gives another proof of* C_2*–cofiniteness of* $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$ *without using Conjecture 7.6.*

Lemma 9.2 easily implies $\mathrm{Ker}(\Phi)$ is an nilpotent ideal in $\mathcal{P}(\overline{M(1)}^{+})$.

Now we are in the position to prove C_2–cofiniteness of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$. As in the case $m = 2$ we have

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}) = \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}) \oplus \mathcal{P}_m(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m})$$

where $\mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m})$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m})$ generated by $\overline{\omega}$ and $\overline{H^{(2)}}$ and

$$\mathcal{P}_m(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}) = \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}).\overline{U^{(m)}}.$$

Theorem 9.4. *The vertex operator algebra* $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$ *is* C_2*–cofinite.*

Proof. C_2–cofiniteness of $\mathcal{W}(p)^+$ was proved in Proposition 4.3. We shall here present the proof for the case $m \geq 2$. It suffices to prove that $\mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m})$ is finite–dimensional. Lemma 9.2 gives relation

$$\overline{\omega}^n(\overline{H^{(2)}} - a\overline{\omega}^{3p-1}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}),$$

Now Lemma 9.1 shows existence of the non-trivial element

$$U^{(m)}_{-k}U^{(m)} \in \mathcal{P}(\overline{M(1)}^+) \cap C_2(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}),$$

which gives relation

$$\overline{U^{(m)}_{-k}U^{(m)}} = A(\overline{\omega})(\overline{H^{(2)}} - a\overline{\omega}^{3p-1}) + B(\overline{\omega}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}),$$

for certain polynomials $A, B \in \mathbb{C}[x]$. Since $\Phi(U^{(m)}_{-k}U^{(m)}) \neq 0$ we have that $B \neq 0$. By multiplying previous relation with $\overline{\omega}^n$ we get

$$\overline{\omega}^n B(\overline{\omega}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}).$$

This easily proves that $\mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m})$ is finite-dimensional. □

We still have an unproved lemma.

Lemma 9.5. *Let p be a non-negative integer and let $b_0 + \cdots + b_p x^p$ be a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x]$, $b_0 \neq 0$ and let m be a nonzero constant. Then in the following product expansion*

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{mx}(b_0 + \cdots + b_p x^p) \\ =&\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x_0^n \in \mathbb{C}[[x]], \end{aligned}$$

there cannot exist an $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $c_{n+p} = c_{n+p+k} = \cdots = c_{n+p+pk} = 0$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can set $m = 1$. Assume the lemma is false, then there exist an $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $c_{n+p} = c_{n+p+k} = \cdots = c_{n+p+pk} = 0$, i.e.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n!} & \frac{1}{(n+1)!} & \cdots & \frac{1}{(n+p)!} \\ \frac{1}{(n+k)!} & \frac{1}{(n+k+1)!} & \cdots & \frac{1}{(n+k+p)!} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{(n+pk)!} & \frac{1}{(n+pk+1)!} & \cdots & \frac{1}{(n+pk+p)!} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_p \\ \vdots \\ b_0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

But direct computation (by using column operations and induction on p) shows that the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{n!} & \frac{1}{(n+1)!} & \cdots & \frac{1}{(n+p)!} \\ \frac{1}{(n+k)!} & \frac{1}{(n+k+1)!} & \cdots & \frac{1}{(n+k+p)!} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{(n+pk)!} & \frac{1}{(n+pk+1)!} & \cdots & \frac{1}{(n+pk+p)!} \end{vmatrix} = (-1)^{p(p+1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{p+1} \frac{(j-1)!k^{i-1}}{(n+(i-1)k+p)!},$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof. □

10. Towards irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$-modules

In the section, we assume that the readers are familiar with the enumeration of irreducible modules in Section 4 of [ALM1].

We shall first assume that $m = 2k$ is even. Recall the conjectural set of irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_m}$–modules.

First we have Λ-series of irreducible modules of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_m}$:

$$\Lambda(i)_0, \Lambda(i)_j^{\pm}, \Lambda(i)_m, \ (j = 1, \dots, k-1).$$

$\Lambda(i)_0$ and $\Lambda(i)_m$ are Ψ-invariant, and therefore: we have decomposition of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$-modules:

$$\Lambda(i)_0 \cong \Lambda(i)_0^+ \bigoplus \Lambda(i)_0^-,$$

$$\Lambda(i)_m \cong \Lambda(i)_m^+ \bigoplus \Lambda(i)_m^-,$$

where $\Lambda(i)_0^{\pm}, \Lambda(i)_m^{\pm}$ are the eigenspaces for eigenvalues ± 1. By quantum Galois theory, $\Lambda(i)_0^{\pm}, \Lambda(i)_m^{\pm}$ are non-isomorphic irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$–modules.

Since $\Psi(\Lambda(i)_j^{\pm}) = \Lambda(i)_j^{\mp}$ we conclude that $\Lambda(i)_j^{\pm}$ are irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$–modules and

$$\Lambda(i)_j^+ \cong \Lambda(i)_j^-.$$

So Λ-series of irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_m}$–modules, gives $4p + (m/2-1)p$–irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$–modules:

$$\Lambda(i)_0^{\pm}, \Lambda(i)_m^{\pm}, \Lambda(i)_j^+ \quad (j = 1, \dots, k).$$

We also have Π-series of irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_m}$–modules:

$$\Pi(i)_j^{\pm}, \ (j = 1, \dots, k).$$

Since $\Psi(\Pi(i)_j^{\pm}) \cong \Pi(i)_j^{\mp}$, we conclude that $\Pi(i)_j^{\pm}$ are irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$–modules and

$$\Pi(i)_j^- \cong \Pi(i)_j^+.$$

So Π series of irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_m}$–modules, gives $mp/2$–irreducible non-isomorphic $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$–modules:

$$\Pi(i)_j^+ \quad (j = 1, \dots, k).$$

In this way we have proved that Λ and Π–series gives $(3+m)p$–irreducible non-isomorphic $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$-modules. By using similar analysis we get the same result in the case $m = 2k+1$.

Lemma 10.1. *The Λ and Π-series of irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_m}$–modules gives $(3+m)p$ non-isomorphic irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$–modules.*

We also have the twisted series of irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_m}$–modules:

For $k = 0, ..., m-1$, we let

$$R(i,j,k) := \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} M(1) \otimes e^{\frac{j - \frac{i}{m}}{2p}\alpha + (ms+k)\alpha}$$

Since

$$\Psi(R(i,j,k)) \cong R(m-i, 2p-j-1, m-k),$$

we have that each $R(i,j,k)$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$-module and $R(i,j,k) \cong R(m-i, 2p-j-1, m-k)$ In this way we get $pm(m-1)$ irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$-modules.

We also have $4p$ irreducible modules

$$R(j)^{\sigma}, R(j)^{h\sigma}$$

constructed in Proposition 6.4. We call these modules as R^{σ}–series.

Finally, we conclude:

Proposition 10.2. *The Λ, Π, R and R^{σ}–series give $(m^2+7)p$ non-isomorphic irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$–modules.*

Realization of irreducible modules enables us to prove commutativity of Zhu's algebra.

Proposition 10.3. *Let $m \geq 3$. In Zhu's algebra $A(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m})$ we have*

$$[H^{(2)}] * [U^{(m)}] = [U^{(m)}] * [H^{(2)}] = g_p^m([\omega]) * [U^{(m)}]$$

where g_p^m is a polynomial of degree at most $3p-1$ which satisfies

$$g_p^m(h_{i,m+1}) = f_p(h_{i,m+1}),\ g_p^m(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}) = -1/2 f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}) \quad (m \textit{ even}),$$

$$g_p^m(h_{p+i,m+2}) = f_p(h_{p+i,m+2}),\ g_p^m(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}) = -1/2 f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1}) \quad (m \textit{ odd}).$$

In particular, Zhu's algebra $A(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m})$ is commutative.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 8.9. We evaluate relations

$$[H^{(2)}] * [U^{(m)}] = \widetilde{r_1}([\omega]) * [U^{(m)}],\ [U^{(m)}] * [H^{(2)}] = \widetilde{r_2}([\omega]) * [U^{(m)}],$$

on lowest components of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$–modules

$$R(j)^{\sigma}, \Lambda(i)_m^{\pm},\ \ j = 1, \dots, 2p,\ i = 1, \dots, p \quad (m \text{ even}),$$

$$R(j)^{\sigma}, \Pi(i)_m^{\pm},\ \ j = 1, \dots, 2p,\ i = 1, \dots, p \quad (m \text{ odd}).$$

□

The above analysis, and the results from [ALM1] and from Section 8 in the cases $m = 1, 2$ motivate the following conjecture:

Conjecture 10.4.

(1) *The vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$ has $(m^2+7)p$ non-isomorphic irreducible modules, and the modules constructed in Proposition 10.2 give a complete list of irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$–modules.*

(2) *If $m \geq 2$, then Zhu's algebra $A(\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m})$ is commutative algebra of dimension $(m^2+8)p-1$.*

10.1. **Irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$-modules: lowest weights.** Since we have already proved that modules in Proposition 10.2 are non-isomorphic, we don't need explicit formulas for lowest weights. But for completeness, we shall list explicit formulas for lowest weights without proof for general m (we calculated these weights in the case $m = 2$).

The action of Zhu's algebra on the lowest weights spaces $M(0)$ can be found in the following two tables, where

$$\phi(t) = (-1)^p \prod_{l=0}^{m-1} \binom{t+pl}{(m+1)p-1} \frac{((m+1)p-1)!((l+1)p)!}{((m+l+1)p-1)!p!},$$

and number ℓ is defined as in Lemma 4.8 of [ALM1].

Let $m = 2k$.

module M	$L(0)$	$H^{(2)}(0)$	$U^{(m)}(0)$
$\Lambda(i)_0^+$	$h_{i,1}$	0	0
$\Lambda(i)_0^-$	$h_{i,3}$	$-2f_p(h_{i,3})$	0
$\Lambda(i)_j^+ \cong \Lambda(i)_j^-$	$h_{i,2j+1}$	$f_p(h_{i,2j+1})$	0
$\Lambda(i)_m^+$	$h_{i,m+1}$	$f_p(h_{i,m+1})$	$\frac{(2m)!}{m!}\phi(-mp+i-1)$
$\Lambda(i)_m^-$	$h_{i,m+1}$	$f_p(h_{i,m+1})$	$-\frac{(2m)!}{m!}\phi(-mp+i-1)$
$\Pi(i)_j^+ \cong \Pi(i)_j^-$	$h_{p+i,2j+1}$	$f_p(h_{p+i,2j+1})$	0
$R(i,j,k)$	$h_{\ell+1-i/m,1}$	$f_p(h_{\ell+1-i/m,1})$	0
$R(j)^{\sigma}$	$h_{3p+1/2-j,1}$	$-\frac{1}{2}f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1})$	$\frac{(2m)!}{2^{m-1}m!}\phi(3p-1/2-j)$
$R(j)^{h\sigma}$	$h_{3p+1/2-j,1}$	$-\frac{1}{2}f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1})$	$-\frac{(2m)!}{2^{m-1}m!}\phi(3p-1/2-j)$

Let $m = 2k+1$.

module M	$L(0)$	$H^{(2)}(0)$	$U^{(m)}(0)$
$\Lambda(i)_0^+$	$h_{i,1}$	0	0
$\Lambda(i)_0^-$	$h_{i,3}$	$-2f_p(h_{i,3})$	0
$\Lambda(i)_j^+ \cong \Lambda(i)_j^-$	$h_{i,2j+1}$	$f_p(h_{i,2j+1})$	0
$\Pi(i)_j^+ \cong \Pi(i)_j^-$	$h_{p+i,2j+1}$	$f_p(h_{p+i,2j+1})$	0
$\Pi(i)_m^+$	$h_{p+i,m+2}$	$f_p(h_{p+i,m+2})$	$\frac{(2m)!}{2^{m-1}m!}\phi(-mp+i-1)$
$\Pi(i)_m^-$	$h_{p+i,m+2}$	$f_p(h_{p+i,m+2})$	$-\frac{(2m)!}{2^{m-1}m!}\phi(-mp+i-1)$
$R(i,j,k)$	$h_{\ell+1-i/m,1}$	$f_p(h_{\ell+1-i/m,1})$	0
$R(j)^\sigma$	$h_{3p+1/2-j,1}$	$-\frac{1}{2}f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1})$	$\frac{(2m)!}{2^{m-1}m!}\phi(3p-1/2-j)$
$R(j)^{h\sigma}$	$h_{3p+1/2-j,1}$	$-\frac{1}{2}f_p(h_{3p+1/2-j,1})$	$-\frac{(2m)!}{2^{m-1}m!}\phi(3p-1/2-j)$

11. Irreducible characters and modular closure

As in [ALM1] we compute the $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$-closure of the character of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$. We shall relate irreducible characters of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$ with irreducible characters of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_m}$ constructed from [ALM1].

First we notice that by construction $\mathrm{ch}R(j)^\sigma = \mathrm{ch}R(j)^{h\sigma}$ are characters of irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_2}$–modules. Therefore, if m is even, these characters are in the span of characters of irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_m}$–modules. But if m is odd, we get $2p$ new characters which are linearly independent with $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_m}$–characters

The case $m=1$ was studied in [ALM1] since $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_1} \cong \mathcal{W}(p)^{A_2}$. The case $m=2$ was also studied in previous sections. As usual for a V-module M we denote

$$\mathrm{ch}_M := \mathrm{tr}_M q^{L(0)-c/24}.$$

Lemma 11.1. *For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \le i \le p$*

$$ch_{L_{\overline{M(1)}}(h_{i,1},0)^+} - ch_{L_{\overline{M(1)}}(h_{i,1},0)^-}$$

is in the linear span of characters of irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_2}$–modules. In particular, $ch\Lambda(i)_0^\pm$ is in linear span of irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_m}$ and irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_2}$–modules.

Proof. As an $\overline{M(1)}^+$–modules we have

$$\Lambda(i)_0^+ = \overline{M(1)}^+ . e^{\frac{i-1}{2p}\alpha} \bigoplus R_0^+, \quad \Lambda(i)_0^- = \overline{M(1)}^+ . Qe^{\frac{i-1}{2p}\alpha - \alpha} \bigoplus R_0^-,$$

where R_0^+ and R_0^- are isomorphic $\overline{M(1)}^+$–modules. The above decomposition follows for every m and therefore

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\Lambda(i)_0^+} - \mathrm{ch}_{\Lambda(i)_0^-} = \mathrm{ch}_{\overline{M(1)}^+ . e^{\frac{i-1}{2p}\alpha}} - \mathrm{ch}_{\overline{M(1)}^+ . Qe^{\frac{i-1}{2p}\alpha - \alpha}}$$

is in linear span of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_2}$–modules, which is the same as linear span of irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_2}$–modules. □

As Virasoro modules, we have

$$\Lambda(i)_0^+ = (\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty}(n)\bigoplus_{k=0}^{m-1} L(c_{p,1}, h_{i,2(nm+k)+1}))\bigoplus(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} L(c_{p,1}, h_{i,4k+1})).$$

$$\Lambda(i)_0^- = (\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty}(n)\bigoplus_{k=0}^{m-1} L(c_{p,1}, h_{i,2(nm+k)+1}))\bigoplus(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} L(c_{p,1}, h_{i,4k+3})).$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{ch}_{\Lambda(i)_0^+}(\tau)\\
=&\frac{1}{\eta(\tau)}(\sum_{n\geq 1}^{\infty} nq^{p(mn+\frac{p-i}{2p})^2}-\sum_{n\geq 2}^{\infty}(n-1)q^{p(mn-(m-1)-\frac{p-i}{2p})^2})\\
+&\frac{1}{\eta(\tau)}(\sum_{n\geq 1}^{\infty} nq^{p(mn+1+\frac{p-i}{2p})^2}-\sum_{n\geq 2}^{\infty}(n-1)q^{p(mn-(m-2)-\frac{p-i}{2p})^2})\\
+&\cdots\cdots\cdots\\
+&\frac{1}{\eta(\tau)}(\sum_{n\geq 1}^{\infty} nq^{p(mn+m-1+\frac{p-i}{2p})^2}-\sum_{n\geq 2}^{\infty}(n-1)q^{p(mn-\frac{p-i}{2p})^2})\\
+&\frac{1}{\eta(\tau)}\sum_{n\geq 0}^{\infty}(q^{p(2n+\frac{p-i}{2p})^2}-q^{p(2n+\frac{p+i}{2p})^2})\\
=&\frac{1}{\eta(\tau)}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} n(q^{p(mn+\frac{p-i}{2p})^2}+q^{p(mn+1+\frac{p-i}{2p})^2}+\cdots+q^{p(mn+m-1+\frac{p-i}{2p})^2})\\
+&\frac{1}{\eta(\tau)}\sum_{n\geq 1}^{\infty} q^{p(n-\frac{p-i}{2p})^2}+\frac{1}{\eta(\tau)}\sum_{n\geq 0}^{\infty}(q^{p(2n+\frac{p-i}{2p})^2}-q^{p(2n+\frac{p+i}{2p})^2})\\
=&\frac{1}{2}(Q_{m(p-i),pm^2}(\tau)+Q_{m(3p-i),pm^2}(\tau)+\cdots+Q_{m(2pm-p-i),pm^2}(\tau))+\Theta_{2(p-i),4p}(\tau),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Q_{\cdot,\cdot}(\tau)$ are defined in [ALM1]. Similarly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{ch}_{\Lambda(i)_0^-}(\tau)\\
=&\frac{1}{2}(Q_{m(p-i),pm^2}(\tau)+Q_{m(3p-i),pm^2}(\tau)+\cdots+Q_{m(2pm-p-i),pm^2}(\tau))+\Theta_{2(p+i),4p}(\tau).
\end{aligned}
$$

We also note that

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\Lambda(i)_m^\pm}=\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{ch}_{\Lambda(i)_m}$$

when m is even, and

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\Pi(i)_m^\pm}=\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{ch}_{\Pi(i)_m}$$

when m is odd.

Now by the calculation of characters and Theorem 6.5 in [ALM1] we summarize:

Theorem 11.2.

(1) *When m is even, the dimension of the modular closure of irreducible modules Λ, Π, R and R^σ families (conjecturally all irreducible modules of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$) is $(m^2+2)p-1$. Moreover, the space coincide with that of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_m}$.*

(2) *When m is odd and $m\geq 3$, the modular closure of irreducible modules Λ, Π, R and R^σ families (conjecturally all irreducible modules of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$) is $(m^2+5)p-1$-dimensional and it is spanned by the $(m^2+2)p-1$–dimensional space coming from $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_m}$ together with $3p$ irreducible characters*

$$\mathrm{ch}_{R(j)^\sigma},\quad j=1,\ldots,2p,$$

$$ch_{L_{\overline{M(1)}}(h_{i,1},0)^+} - ch_{L_{\overline{M(1)}}(h_{i,1},0)^-} \quad (i = 1, \dots, p).$$

Proof. We have showed above that all irreducible $\mathcal{W}(p)^{D_m}$ characters are in the sum of linear span of $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_m}$ and $\mathcal{W}(p)^{A_2}$–characters. So if m is even, we get assertion (1). In both cases modular space is spanned by

$$\frac{\Theta_{i,pm^2}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} \quad (i = 0, \dots, pm^2) \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{\Theta_{i,4p}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} \quad (i = 0, \dots, 4p) \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{\partial\Theta_{i,p}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, p-1) \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{\tau\partial\Theta_{i,p}}{\eta(\tau)}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, p-1). \tag{8}$$

If m is even, then characters (6) can be expressed by (5). So basis is given by (5), (7), (8).

If m is odd, then formula

$$\Theta_{2i,4p}(\tau) + \Theta_{4p-2i,4p}(\tau) = \Theta_{i,p}(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \Theta_{2pmn+im,pm^2}(\tau)$$

gives that the dimension of the modular closure is $m^2p + 5p - 1$. The proof follows. □

References

[A] D. Adamović, *Classification of irreducible modules of certain subalgebras of free boson vertex algebra*, J. Algebra, 270 (2003), 115–132.

[ALM1] D. Adamović, X. Lin, A. Milas, *ADE subalgebras of the triplet vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(p)$: A_m-series*, arXiv:1212.5453

[AM1] D. Adamović and A. Milas, *Logarithmic intertwining operators and $W(2, 2p-1)$-algebras*, J. Math. Phys., **48** (2007), p. 073503

[AM2] D. Adamović; A. Milas, *On the triplet vertex algebra $W(p)$*, Advances in Math. **217** (2008), 2664–2699.

[AM3] D. Adamović; A. Milas, *The structure of Zhu's algebras for certain W-algebras*, Advances in Math. **227** (2011), 2425-2456.

[CR] T. Creutzig and D. Ridout, Logarithmic Conformal Field Theory: Beyond an Introduction, `arXiv:1303.0847`.

[DG] C. Dong and R. Griess , Rank one lattice type vertex operator algebras and their automorphism groups, *Journal of Algebra* **208** (1998): 262-275.

[DGR] C. Dong, R. Griess, and A. Ryba, Rank One Lattice Type Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Automorphism Groups II. E-Series, *Journal of Algebra* **217** (1999), 701-710.

[DJ] C. Dong and C. Jiang, Bimodules and g-rationality of vertex operator algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360 (2008), no. 8, 4235-4262.

[DLM] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, *Modular-invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory and generalized Moonshine*, Comm. Math. Phys. **214** (2000), no.1. 1-56.

[DM] C. Dong, G. Mason, On quantum Galois theory, *Duke Math. J*, **86** (1997), 305-321.

[DN1] C. Dong, K. Nagatomo, *Classification of irreducible modules for vertex operator algebra $M(1)^+$*, J. of Algebra **216** (1999), 384-404.

[DN2] C. Dong and K. Nagatomo, Representations of vertex operator algebra V_L^+ for rank one lattice L, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **202** (1999), 169–195.

[FGST1] B.L. Feigin, A.M. Gaĭnutdinov, A. M. Semikhatov, and I. Yu Tipunin, I, The Kazhdan-Lusztig correspondence for the representation category of the triplet W-algebra in logorithmic conformal field theories. (Russian) Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **148** (2006), no. 3, 398–427.

[FGST2] B.L. Feigin, A.M. Gaĭnutdinov, A. M. Semikhatov, and I. Yu Tipunin, Modular group representations and fusion in logarithmic conformal field theories and in the quantum group center. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **265** (2006), 47–93.

[F] M. Flohr, On modular invariant partition functions of conformal field theories with logarithmic operators. International Journal of Modern Physics A 11.22 (1996): 4147-4172.

[FLM] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, Academic Press, 1988.

[Fu] J. Fuchs, On non-semisimple fusion rules and tensor categories, Contemporary Mathematics 442 (2007) 315–337.

[FSS] J. Fuchs, C. Schweigert, C. Stigner, From non-semisimple Hopf algebras to correlation functions for logarithmic CFT, `arxiv.1302.4683`.

[GK1] M. Gaberdiel and H. G. Kausch, A rational logarithmic conformal field theory, Phys. Lett B 386 (1996), 131-137, hep-th/9606050

[GK2] M. Gaberdiel and H. G. Kausch, A local logarithmic conformal field theory, Nucl. Phys. B 538 (1999), 631-658, hep-th/9807091

[GKM] N. Geer, J. Kujawa, B. Patureau-Mirand, Ambidextrous objects and trace functions for nonsemisimple categories, to appear in PAMS, `arxiv.1106.4477`.

[LL] J. Lepowsky; H. Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 227, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003

[NT] K. Nagatomo and A. Tsuchiya, The Triplet Vertex Operator Algebra $W(p)$ and the Restricted Quantum Group at Root of Unity, Exploring new structures and natural constructions in mathematical physics, 149, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 61, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2011., `arXiv:0902.4607`.

Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, Bijenicka 30, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
E-mail address: `adamovic@math.hr`

College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou, 350108, China
E-mail address: `linxianzu@126.com`

Department of Mathematics and Statistics,SUNY-Albany, 1400 Washington Avenue, Albany 12222,USA
E-mail address: `amilas@albany.edu`